



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,335	11/03/2003	Gerard J. Kmita	0210B-000254/CPA	5169
27572 7	590 02/18/2005		EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			ELKINS, GARY E	
P.O. BOX 828			D. DED 1111 (DED	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3727	

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/700,335	KMITA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Gary E. Elkins	3727				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	n appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence	address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicative operiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory into the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by the property received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, howe on. a reply within the statutory min period will apply and will expire s statute, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be considered ti SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thi become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-fina	al.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction and	hdrawn from considera	,				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	•	·				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the countries the oath or declaration is objected to by the countries of the countries	·					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Beet the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been rece ments have been rece e priority documents ha sureau (PCT Rule 17.2	ived. ived in Application No ive been received in this Natior (a)).	nal Stage			
Attachmer	nt(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 er No(s)/Mail Date	SB/08) 5) 🔲	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (I Other:	PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: I. Figs. 1-5; II. Figs. 6-11; III. Figs. 12-19; IV. Figs. 20-29; V. Figs. 30-33.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,335 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Gary E. Elkins

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3727

gee

17 February 2005